G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02
~~191~~ .
(Police)

File No. 710 of 01-02.

Serial Nos. 1 to 3

Papers Filed.

Month February 02

SUBJECT.

Report on the working of the Arms & Explos
Regulations in Tanjore for the year 1899-1900

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Police. 125-00.	Communicated Indexed

(7)

Read,

Letter no 841/73 dated the 5th August 1901 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting the report on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations in the ~~State~~ Mysore State for the year 1899-1900

Orders thereon.

Recorded with the remark that there was an unordinate ~~delay~~ delay in the submission of the report to Government.

30.9.01

Secy:

To Inspr. General of Police

Sent to Secy. 30.9.01

Rec'd 30.9.01 2114

2088

GEOLOGICAL DEPARTMENT Regd No 10-10-01 135-S MYSORE

Forwarded to the Secy, Geological Department. 7.10.1901

To await return of Chief Inspector of Explosives

15.7.05

Re Secy's Query (29)

I have not submitted these papers without studying the relation between the Arms & Explosives Rules. In fact I have had [redacted] to compare the two on more than one previous occasion. Vide, for instance, my note of 30th July and 9th August in first file no. It is unnecessary, however, to refer to my own views, as they have been overridden by the authoritative expression of opinion laid down by the late Offg Secretary's letter in the letter to Residency no. 2216 dy 11th Aug. 1900 in that file. If this opinion be followed, there can be no doubt that [redacted] the sale of ammunition falling under the head of "explosive" must necessarily be licensed under the Explosives Rules and the Rules thereunder; — Which is exactly the criticism embodied in the first para of Mr Smeeth's present letter and accepted (by the Secretary's instruction) in the first para of our revised draft order.

16.12.01

The existence of two sets of independent

rules about the same matter, which have not apparently been made to agree thoroughly or create unnecessary difficulty. The view advanced by the Asstt Secy in the note referred to was concurred in by the Secy (2nd Councillor).

There is nothing in the Explosives Regns or Rules to show that the Arms Regns and Rules were meant to be repealed or modified thereby. When a person obtains a license authorising him to do something accdg to one set of regulations, it is hardly consistent of Govt to declare the license practically void without having declared at the time of granting it

that something more remains to be done to give it validity. I am very doubtful whether it would be right to insert this as view in the 1st para. of the draft review.

~~There is some other~~ reason I delayed this owing to my not seeing a ready way of solving the difficulty caused by the interlapping of these rules (perhaps personal to me) but the matter has been discussed already and does not seem to be incapable of easy disposal.

Perhaps the best way ~~is~~ ~~it~~ is

5

for Consideration or reconsideration & neref. to record the report now.

Submitted to the Dewan -

25/1/1902

5/
25.1.02
No. 3364 P.J.

Let the order be passed in the file: Secy. for other under standing the whereabouts? Should pending accounts papers are sent to some Secy send no agent some we may also enquire the whereabouts of this missil

26.1.02

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 74 of 1901.

Serial No.	
3	Forwarded to the Secretary, Geology Department with reference to the Dewan's order on the office note, the early return is solicited. 28/1/02 (Sd) M. V. Narasimhayya. No. 465-5 dt 3-2-02 I approve of the draft order except in regard to the question of inspections. Inspection of Magazines and registered premises must be largely carried out.

out by police Officers
under Rule 43 of the
Explosives Rules and it
seems necessary that
the Inspector General of
Police should take note
of this work. I don't see
how I can do it.

The number of inspections
made during the year
might be referred to
me for information and
any questions involving
difficulties about the use
or storage of explosives
may be referred to me
but beyond this I do
not see that I can interfere
with or report upon the
work of the police in this
matter independently of
the Inspector General of
P.A.I.

3.2.02

1803 190 1901
7-8-01.

From The Inspr. Genl. of Police in Mysore

To THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 5th August 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 841
No. 73

Enclosure No. 4

Subs: the report on the working of the Arms & Explosives Rules for the year 1899-00

Is any separate Explosives' report sent to Govt. DEPTT?

D.O. Letter to Mr. Subbarao sent. 14/8/1901

No. Please see Mr. B. Subbarao's letter attached. 14-8-01.

Please compare with form prescribed & if it is all right draft a recording order remarking on the delay & submit to the Dewan for approval

23/8/1901

23-8-01 Dewan has signed this & returned by Chinnaswamy 23-8-01

Compd. 24/8/1

MGCPB—5,000—24-7-01.

1901-1902.

2

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore

No. 841/73

Dated Bangalore, 5th/6 August 1901.

Enc. 4

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the report on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for the year 1899-1900.

2. The Statement No. 1 hereto annexed shews the number and the description of the licenses issued under the various forms.

(a) It will be seen from it that in Form I, only one license to transport

transport arms, ammunition or Military stores in the Territories of Mysore, was issued against nil in the previous year. This was in the Tumkur District.

In Under Form II of licenses to manufacture, convert or sell arms, ammunition or military stores, there is a net decrease of 10 licenses. There is a decrease of 9 in Bangalore, 2 in Tumkur, 2 in Mysore and 1 in Hassan, while there is an increase of 4 in the Kadur District. As regards Arms covered by these licenses, there is a perceptible decrease of 850 in the number of firearms and 47 in the number of other arms.

The

The decrease is mostly in the Bangalore District where licenses were issued for only 80 firearms during the year against 789 for the previous year; whereas in the Kadur District, there is a decrease of 90 firearms though the number of licenses issued increased by 4.

(c) Under form III of licenses to keep and sell arms, ammunition or military stores, the number issued is nearly the same, viz, 76 against 79 in the previous year; but the number of firearms covered by them shows a decrease of 140. The decrease is mostly in the

Kadur District.

(b) under form IV of licenses to possess arms, &c, 11759 were issued in the year under report against 11,343 during the past year shewing a net increase of 416 licenses. A proportionate increase is also found in the number of firearms covered by them, viz, 291. The increase was in the Bangalore, Kadur, Tumkur and Chitaldrug Districts, whereas there was a decrease in the Kolar, Mysore Hassan and Shimoga Districts and in the French Rocks Sub-Division. The decrease in the

French

French Rocks Sub-Division is reported to have been due to the remission of licenses to persons living in certain villages in the Seringapatam Taluk for their having taken part in the serious riot that took place there.

(e) Under form II of licenses to go armed for a journey only, 13 were issued during the year against 27 in the past year.

(f) Under form VI of licenses to possess firearms &c, in Districts which have not been disarmed, 27 are shewn as having been issued during the year against 16 during the past year. The Kolar District has

has shewn this. The District Magistrate thereof who was asked to explain in this, reports that the figure represents the licenses granted for blasting purposes and that they were shewn against form VI, because they were granted in this form free of all fee as there were no other forms suited for the purpose.

(g) Under form VII which relates to licenses to possess and carry arms, the total number issued remained nearly stationery. In connection with this, the District Magistrate of Shimoga reports, that the exact number of licenses issued under

under this form and the number of ammo produced before Magistrate for inspection, cannot be given, as some of the Amildar Magistrates state, that no separate registers were kept of for the purpose. The District Magistrate has, however, added, that orders would be issued regarding this.

3. The statement No. II annexed shows the number of the persons licensed to deal in Sulphur &c, in the Province of Mysore. ÷ ÷ It will be seen from it that there were 75 licensed dealers during this year against 79 in the previous year. The quantity of Sulphur in hand

hands with the dealers in the beginning of the year were 4 tons, 13 cwts, and 15 lbs and the quantity purchased during the year was 18 tons, 2 qrs and 7 lbs. The quantity sold during the year was 15 tons, 11 cwts and 3 qrs, and the balance remaining unsold was 7 tons, 1 cwt, 3 qrs and 22 lbs. As reported last year, Sulphur is reported to have been used for medical and disinfecting purposes and for ordinary fireworks.

4. The Statement No. III appended shews the inspection by Police officers and Magistrates of Stock premises and works

of

of licensed dealers. The Superintendents of Police inspected 21 out of 107 shops and Police Inspectors 35. The inspection of shops by the Superintendents shows an improvement. The Superintendents of the Kolar, Shimoga, and Chitaldrug Districts and of the French Rocks Sub-Division did not inspect any shop during the year under report.

5. The Statement No. iv shows the number of the licenses issued under the Explosives Regulation of 1888. There is an increase of 6 in the number issued which is accounted for by an increase of

8 in the number of the licenses issued to manufacture, possess and sell explosives and a decrease of 2 in the number of those issued for (1) selling and (2) merely for possessing those articles. The increase was in the Shimoga District. This is said to be due to a stricter enforcement of the rules.

6. The appended statement A shows the number of the cases and of the persons prosecuted under the Arms Regulation. It will be seen

from

from it that in 207 cases 212 persons were prosecuted and that 183 Cases with 188 persons ended in conviction. The largest number were in the Bangalore and the Shimoga Districts. These and the above figures shew that the regulations to possess arms & and explosives have been pretty carefully enforced.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

CB.

7268
6-XII-01 1901

13
1839
28

From The Secretary to Govt:
Geological Deptt

FILE
SERIAL
To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 4 Dec: 1901.

Despatched

1 S. P.M. (6-12-01)

General No. 334-S
No.

Enclosure No.

Lordy: a memo on the Report of the I. G. of Police on the working of the Arms & Explosives Rules for 1900-1900.

Worked No. 430/90-99
6.12.01

Please redraft as incorporating the remarks of Dept of Explosives as far as they apply & Contain-ing direction for de-leting the scope as suggested. That will have to be submitted thro' the Dewan Sahib

Yours
4/12/1901

Has the Asst Secy Compared the original proms of the Rules & made sure that the extension is unobjectionable? We need not adopt the views of the Geological (& Explosives) Deptt in their entirety unless we agree.

Yours
12/12/1901 P.J.a

for orders
Subs to Secy? (with draft order & other refs.
7.12.01

References to be marked.

MGCPB—5,000—21-7-01.

14

Memo on the Report of the Inspector General of Police on the working of the Arms and Explosives Regulations for 1899 - 1900.

The Report deals with both the Arms and Explosives Regulations, but does not appear to recognise the fact that the manufacture, possession and sale of all explosives, including ammunition, must be licensed under the Explosives Regulation.

Thus Statement IV of the Report shows a total of 16 Licenses issued under the Explosives Regulation , while Statement I shows a total of 11,896 Licenses issued under the Arms Regulation (Forms ii,iii,iv, and vi.) and embracing the manufacture, possession or sale of ammunition.

All of these 11,896 Licenses, in so far as they include ammunition, should have been issued under the Explosives Regulation.

Of course many of them may include no ammunition and may therefore have been properly issued, but this can hardly be true of all and certainly is not so in the case of the 27 Licenses issued under Form vi.

These points show how intricately the Arms and Explosives Regulations are interwoven and how little the correct procedure to be followed is understood by licensing officers.

15

As chief Inspector of Explosives I shall have to issue a Report (the first will be for the year 1901) on the working of the Explosives Regulation including all ammunition as formerly licensed under the Arms Regulation.

It would perhaps simplify matters if the Report of the Inspector General of Police dealt in future solely with licenses which are issued only under the Arms Regulation - that is, licenses for arms and for military stores - as well as with matters pertaining to the inspections carried out under the provisions of both Regulations by District Officers connected with the magistracy and police.

The subject requires some definite and mutual arrangement, so that the Reports of the Inspector General of Police and of the Chief Inspector of Explosives can be issued together as a whole without overlapping.

Mysore Geological Dept.,
Bangalore, 3rd December 1901

Chief Inspector of Explosives in Mysore.

No. 334-S.

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts. for consideration with reference to the Draft order on the Report submitted

by the Inspector-General of Police.

W. J. Hurley

Secretary to Govt.

Geological Dept

December 1901.

1/(34)

GEOLOGICAL DEPARTMENT
Regd. No.
30 JAN. 02
299-S.
MYSORE.

Read,

SECTION P&R Letter no 841/73 dated

FILE No. 74 of 01-2 the 5th August 1901, from

Ser'l No. 3 Offs the Inspector General of

Police in mysore, submit-

-ting a report on the work-

-ing of the Arms and

Explosives Regulations

in the mysore State

for the year 1899-190

Forwarded to the Secretary

Geological Dept. with refer-

-ence to the Dewan's order

on the Office note. An early

return is solicited.

28. 1. 1902

H. Manjunadayya

order thereon. 13146-55

J 1111

31/2/02

The report deals

with both the Arms

and Explosives Regu-

-lations, but does not

appear to recognise

the fact that the

manufacture

OFFICE OF THE
10149
4-FEB-02
J 1202
MYSORE

early

4/3

26.1.02

No. 468-S. with file. 3-2-02.

I approve of the draft

order except in regard to

the question of inspections.

Inspection of magazines

and registered premises

must be largely carried

out— (Contd on a last page)

manufacture, possession
nd sale of all explosives
ncluding ammunition,
must be licensed under
the Explosives Regulation.
Thus statement IV of
the report shows a total
of 16 licenses issued
nder the Explosives'
egulation, while
Statement I shows
total of 11,896 licenses
ssued under the Arms
egulation (Forms
and VII) and emb
ie manufacture
ossession or s
f ammunition.

in so far as they include ammunition, should have been issued under the Explosives Regulation. It may be that many of them include no ammunition and therefore have been properly issued, but this can hardly be the case with regard to all and of course cannot be so in the case of the 27 licenses issued under Section VII.

2. As the Chief Inspector of Explosives will issue separately a report on the Working of the Explosives Regulation including all ammunition

ammunition as formerly licensed under the Arms Regulation, the Inspector General of Police is requested in future reports to deal solely with licenses which are issued only under the Arms Regulation - that is licenses for arms and for military stores - as well as with matters pertaining to the inspections carried out under the provisions of the said Regulation by District officers connected with the magistracy and Police.

3. Government wh

in conclusion that there was very great delay in the submission of the report to Government.

12-12-01

Secy.

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

The Chief Inspector of Explosives in Mysore.

All the magistrates.

2/01-